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## The Apportionment Problem

Determine how many seats in the U.S. House of Representatives each state gets.


## CONGRESSIONAL SEATS


(US apportionment population $=309,183,463$ )/435 $\approx 710,767$


## The First Census 1790

| State |  | Population |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CT | 5 | 236841 |
| DE | 1 | 55540 |
| GA | 3 | 70835 |
| KY | 2 | 68705 |
| MD | 6 | 278514 |
| MA | 8 | 475327 |
| NH | 3 | 141822 |
| NJ | 4 | 179570 |
| NY | 6 | 331589 |
| NC | 5 | 353523 |
| PA | 8 | 432879 |
| RI | 1 | 68446 |
| SC | 5 | 206236 |
| VT | 2 | 85533 |
| VA | 10 | 630560 |
| US | 67 | 3615920 |

The first apportionment population census.

Source:
Balinski and Young, Fair Representation, Second Edition, 2001, page 158.

## Proposals

Your House size:

## Proposals

Your House size:

$$
h<69
$$

## Proposals

Your House size:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h<69 \\
& h=69 \text { current House size } 1792
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proposals

Your House size:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h<69 \\
& h=69 \text { current House size } 1792 \\
& h>69 \text { and } h<100
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proposals

Your House size:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h<69 \\
& h=69 \text { current House size } 1792 \\
& h>69 \text { and } h<100 \\
& h=100 \text { nice looking number }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proposals

Your House size:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h<69 \\
& h=69 \text { current House size } 1792 \\
& h>69 \text { and } h<100 \\
& h=100 \text { nice looking number } \\
& 100<h<105
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proposals

Your House size:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h<69 \\
& h=69 \text { current House size } 1792 \\
& h>69 \text { and } h<100 \\
& h=100 \text { nice looking number } \\
& 100<h<105 \\
& h=105 \Leftarrow \text { remember this }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proposals

Your House size:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h<69 \\
& h=69 \text { current House size } 1792 \\
& h>69 \text { and } h<100 \\
& h=100 \text { nice looking number } \\
& 100<h<105 \\
& h=105 \Leftarrow \text { remember this } \\
& 105<h<112
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proposals

Your House size:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h<69 \\
& h=69 \text { current House size } 1792 \\
& h>69 \text { and } h<100 \\
& h=100 \text { nice looking number } \\
& 100<h<105 \\
& h=105 \Leftarrow \text { remember this } \\
& 105<h<112 \\
& h=112 \Leftarrow \text { remember this }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proposals

Your House size:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h<69 \\
& h=69 \text { current House size } 1792 \\
& h>69 \text { and } h<100 \\
& h=100 \text { nice looking number } \\
& 100<h<105 \\
& h=105 \Leftarrow \text { remember this } \\
& 105<h<112 \\
& h=112 \Leftarrow \text { remember this } \\
& 112<h<120
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proposals

Your House size:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h<69 \\
& h=69 \text { current House size } 1792 \\
& h>69 \text { and } h<100 \\
& h=100 \text { nice looking number } \\
& 100<h<105 \\
& h=105 \Leftarrow \text { remember this } \\
& 105<h<112 \\
& h=112 \Leftarrow \text { remember this } \\
& 112<h<120 \\
& h=120 \Leftarrow \text { remember this }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proposals

Your House size:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h<69 \\
& h=69 \text { current House size } 1792 \\
& h>69 \text { and } h<100 \\
& h=100 \text { nice looking number } \\
& 100<h<105 \\
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## First Apportionment Bills

Census 1790
State Population

| CT | 236841 |
| ---: | ---: |
| DE | 55540 |
| GA | 70835 |
| KY | 68705 |
| MD | 278514 |
| MA | 475327 |
| NH | 141822 |
| NJ | 179570 |
| NY | 331589 |
| NC | 353523 |
| PA | 432879 |
| RI | 68446 |
| SC | 206236 |
| VT | 85533 |
| VA | 630560 |
| US | 3615920 |

3792621 - City of Los Angeles 2010

## First Apportionment Bills

|  | Census 1790 |  | House Bill |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | State | Population | 30000 |
|  | CT | 236841 |  |
|  | DE | 55540 |  |
|  | GA | 70835 |  |
|  | KY | 68705 |  |
|  | MD | 278514 |  |
|  | MA | 475327 |  |
|  | NH | 141822 |  |
|  | NJ | 179570 |  |
|  | NY | 331589 |  |
|  | NC | 353523 |  |
|  | PA | 432879 |  |
|  | RI | 68446 |  |
|  | SC | 206236 |  |
|  | VT | 85533 |  |
|  | VA | 630560 |  |
|  | US | 3615920 |  |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Population | Divisor 30000 |
| CT | 236841 |  |
| DE | 55540 |  |
| GA | 70835 |  |
| KY | 68705 |  |
| MD | 278514 |  |
| MA | 475327 |  |
| NH | 141822 |  |
| NJ | 179570 |  |
| NY | 331589 |  |
| NC | 353523 |  |
| PA | 432879 |  |
| RI | 68446 |  |
| SC | 206236 |  |
| VT | 85533 |  |
| VA | 630560 |  |
| US | 3615920 |  |

## First Apportionment Bills

|  | Cen | us 1790 | House Bil |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | State | Population | Divisor 30000 |
|  | CT | 236841 | 7.895 |
|  | DE | 55540 | 1.851 |
|  | GA | 70835 | 2.361 |
|  | KY | 68705 | 2.290 |
|  | MD | 278514 | 9.284 |
|  | MA | 475327 | 15.844 |
|  | NH | 141822 | 4.727 |
|  | NJ | 179570 | 5.986 |
|  | NY | 331589 | 11.053 |
|  | NC | 353523 | 11.784 |
|  | PA | 432879 | 14.429 |
|  | RI | 68446 | 2.282 |
|  | SC | 206236 | 6.875 |
|  | VT | 85533 | 2.851 |
|  | VA | 630560 | 21.019 |
|  | US | 3615920 |  |
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## First Apportionment Bills

Census 1790
State Population
CT 236841
DE 55540
GA 70835
KY 68705
MD 278514
MA 475327
NH 141822
NJ 179570
NY 331589
NC 353523
PA 432879
RI 68446
SC 206236
VT 85533

| VA | 630560 |
| ---: | ---: |
| US | 3615920 |
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## First Apportionment Bills

Census 1790
State Population
CT 236841
DE 55540
GA 70835
KY 68705
MD 278514
MA 475327
NH 141822
NJ 179570
NY 331589
NC 353523
PA 432879
RI 68446
SC 206236
VT 85533

| VA | 630560 |
| :--- | ---: |
| US | 3615920 |

## First Apportionment Bills

Census 1790

| State | pulation | Divisor 300 | Seats | Divisor 33 | Seats |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | 236841 | 7.895 | 7 | 7.177 | 7 |
| DE | 55540 | 1.851 | 1 | 1.683 | 1 |
| GA | 70835 | 2.361 | 2 | 2.147 | 2 |


| MD | 6 | 278514 | 9.284 | 9 | 8.440 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MA | 8 | 475327 | 15.844 | 15 | 14.404 | 14 |
| NH | 3 | 141822 | 4.727 | 4 | 4.298 | 4 |
| NJ | 4 | 179570 | 5.986 | 5 | 5.442 | 5 |
| NY | 6 | 331589 | 11.053 | 11 | 10.048 | 10 |
| NC | 5 | 353523 | 11.784 | 11 | 10.713 | 10 |
| PA | 8 | 432879 | 14.429 | 14 | 13.118 | 13 |
| RI | 1 | 68446 | 2.282 | 2 | 2.074 | 2 |
| SC | 5 | 206236 | 6.875 | 6 | 6.250 | 6 |
| VT | 2 | 85533 | 2.851 | 2 | 2.592 | 2 |
| VA | 10 | 630560 | 21.019 | 21 | 19.108 | 19 |
| US | 67 | 3615920 | 120.531 | 112 | 109.573 | 105 |

## First Apportionment Bills

Census 1790 House Bill Senate Bill

| State Population |  |  | Divisor 300 | eats | Divisor 330 | eats |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | 5 | 236841 | 7.895 | 7 | 7.177 | 7 |
| DE | 1 | 55540 | 1.851 | 1 | 1.683 | 1 |
| GA | 3 | 70835 | 2.361 | 2 | 2.147 | 2 |

House:
$43 / 67$
$=64 \%$

| MD | 6 | 278514 | 9.284 | 9 | 8.440 | 8 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MA | 8 | 475327 | 15.844 | 15 | 14.404 | 14 |
| NH | 3 | 141822 | 4.727 | 4 | 4.298 | 4 |
| NJ | 4 | 179570 | 5.986 | 5 | 5.442 | 5 |
| NY | 6 | 331589 | 11.053 | 11 | 10.048 | 10 |
| NC | 5 | 353523 | 11.784 | 11 | 10.713 | 10 |
| PA | 8 | 432879 | 14.429 | 14 | 13.118 | 13 |
| RI | 1 | 68446 | 2.282 | 2 | 2.074 | 2 |
| SC | 5 | 206236 | 6.875 | 6 | 6.250 | 6 |
| VT | 2 | 85533 | 2.851 | 2 | 2.592 | 2 |
| VA 10 | 630560 | 21.019 | 21 | 19.108 | 19 |  |
| US 67 | 3615920 | 120.531 | 112 | 109.573 | 105 |  |

Senate:
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Census

| State |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| Population | 236841 |
| DE | 55540 |
| GA | 70835 |
| KY | 68705 |
| MD | 278514 |
| MA | 475327 |
| NH | 141822 |
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## The House Bill

Census

| State |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| CT | 236841 |
| DE | 55540 |
| GA | 70835 |
| KY | 68705 |
| MD | 278514 |
| MA | 475327 |
| NH | 141822 |
| NJ | 179570 |
| NY | 331589 |
| NC | 353523 |
| PA | 432879 |
| RI | 68446 |
| SC | 206236 |
| VT | 85533 |
| VA | 630560 |
| US | 3615920 |

House Bill

| Divisor $\mathbf{3 0 0 0 0}$ | Seats | Quota $\boldsymbol{h}=\mathbf{1 1 2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 7.895 | 7 | 7.336 |
| 1.851 | 1 | 1.720 |
| 2.361 | 2 | 2.194 |
| 2.290 | 2 | 2.128 |
| 9.284 | 9 | 8.627 |
| 15.844 | 15 | 14.723 |
| 4.727 | 4 | 4.393 |
| 5.986 | 5 | 5.562 |
| 11.053 | 11 | 10.271 |
| 11.784 | 11 | 10.950 |
| 1.429 | 14 | 13.408 |
| 2.282 | 2 | 2.120 |
| 6.875 | 6 | 6.388 |
| 2.851 | 2 | 2.649 |
| 21.019 | 21 | 19.531 |
|  | 112 | 112 |

## Problem

Census

| State |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| Copulation | 236841 |
| DE | 55540 |
| GA | 70835 |
| KY | 68705 |
| MD | 278514 |
| MA | 475327 |
| NH | 141822 |
| NJ | 179570 |
| NY | 331589 |
| NC | 353523 |
| PA | 432879 |
| RI | 68446 |
| SC | 206236 |
| VT | 85533 |
| VA | 630560 |
| US | 3615920 |

House Bill

| Divisor $\mathbf{3 0 0 0 0}$ | Seats | Quota $\boldsymbol{h}=\mathbf{1 1 2}$ |
| ---: | :---: | ---: |
| 7.895 | 7 | 7.336 |
| 1.851 | 1 | 1.720 |
| 2.361 | 2 | 2.194 |
| 2.290 | 2 | 2.128 |
| 9.284 | 9 | 8.627 |
| 15.844 | 15 | 14.723 |
| 4.727 | 4 | 4.393 |
| 5.986 | 5 | 5.562 |
| 11.053 | 11 | 10.271 |
| 11.784 | 11 | 10.950 |
| 14.429 | 14 | 13.408 |
| 2.282 | 2 | 2.120 |
| 6.875 | 6 | 6.388 |
| 2.851 | 2 | 2.649 |
| 21.019 | 21 | 19.531 |
|  | 112 | 112 |

The Quota Rule is violated.

## The Senate Bill

Census
Senate Bill

| State |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| CT | 236841 |
| DE | 55540 |
| GA | 70835 |
| KY | 68705 |
| MD | 278514 |
| MA | 475327 |
| NH | 141822 |
| NJ | 179570 |
| NY | 331589 |
| NC | 353523 |
| PA | 432879 |
| RI | 68446 |
| SC | 206236 |
| VT | 85533 |
| VA | 630560 |
| US | 3615920 |

Divisor 33000 Seats

| 7.177 | 7 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 1.683 | 1 |
| 2.147 | 2 |
| 2.082 | 2 |
| 8.440 | 8 |
| 14.404 | 14 |
| 4.298 | 4 |
| 5.442 | 5 |
| 10.048 | 10 |
| 10.713 | 10 |
| 13.118 | 13 |
| 2.074 | 2 |
| 6.250 | 6 |
| 2.592 | 2 |
| 19.108 | 19 |
|  | 105 |

## The Senate Bill

Census Senate Bill

| State |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| CT | 2368410 |
| DE | 55540 |
| GA | 70835 |
| KY | 68705 |
| MD | 278514 |
| MA | 475327 |
| NH | 141822 |
| NJ | 179570 |
| NY | 331589 |
| NC | 353523 |
| PA | 432879 |
| RI | 68446 |
| SC | 206236 |
| VT | 85533 |
| VA | 630560 |
| US | 3615920 |


| Divisor 33000 | Seats | Quota $\boldsymbol{h}=\mathbf{1 0 5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.177 | 7 | 6.877 |
| 1.683 | 1 | 1.613 |
| 2.147 | 2 | 2.057 |
| 2.082 | 2 | 1.995 |
| 8.440 | 8 | 8.088 |
| 14.404 | 14 | 13.803 |
| 4.298 | 4 | 4.118 |
| 5.442 | 5 | 5.214 |
| 10.048 | 10 | 9.629 |
| 10.713 | 10 | 10.266 |
| 13.118 | 13 | 12.570 |
| 2.074 | 2 | 1.988 |
| 6.250 | 6 | 5.989 |
| 2.592 | 2 | 2.484 |
| 19.108 | 19 | 18.310 |
|  | 105 | 105 |

## Problem

Census Senate Bill

| State Population |  | Divisor 3300 | Seats | Quota $h=105$ | Large states are favored over |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | 236841 | 7.177 | 7 | 6.877 |  |
| DE | 55540 | 1.683 | 1 | 1.613 |  |
| GA | 70835 | 2.147 | 2 | 2.057 | small states. |
| KY | 68705 | 2.082 | 2 | 1.995 |  |
| MD | 278514 | 8.440 | 8 | 8.088 |  |
| MA | 475327 | 14.404 | 14 | 13.803 |  |
| NH | 141822 | 4.298 | 4 | 4.118 |  |
| NJ | 179570 | 5.442 | 5 | 5.214 |  |
| NY | 331589 | 10.048 | 10 | 9.629 |  |
| NC | 353523 | 10.713 | 10 | 10.266 |  |
| PA | 432879 | 13.118 | 13 | 12.570 |  |
| RI | 68446 | 2.074 | 2 | 1.988 |  |
| SC | 206236 | 6.250 | 6 | 5.989 |  |
| VT | 85533 | 2.592 | 2 | 2.484 |  |
| VA | 630560 | 19.108 | 19 | 18.310 |  |
| US | 3615920 |  | 105 | 105 |  |

## Hamilton's Method

| State | Population |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | 236841 |  |
| DE | 55540 |  |
| GA | 70835 |  |
| KY | 68705 |  |
| MD | 278514 |  |
| MA | 475327 |  |
| NH | 141822 |  |
| NJ | 179570 |  |
| NY | 331589 |  |
| NC | 353523 |  |
| PA | 432879 |  |
| RI | 68446 |  |
| SC | 206236 |  |
| VT | 85533 |  |
| VA | 630560 |  |
| US | 3615920 | 120.5307 |
|  |  | = 30000 |

## Hamilton's Method



## Hamilton's Method

| State | Population | $\boldsymbol{h}=\mathbf{1 2 0}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| CT | 236841 |  |
| DE | 55540 |  |
| GA | 70835 |  |
| KY | 68705 |  |
| MD | 278514 |  |
| MA | 475327 |  |
| NH | 141822 |  |
| NJ | 179570 |  |
| NY | 331589 |  |
| NC | 353523 |  |
| PA | 432879 |  |
| RI | 68446 |  |
| SC | 206236 |  |
| VT | 85533 |  |
| VA | 630560 |  |
| US | 3615920 | $\mathbf{1 2 0 . 5 3 0 7}$ |

## Hamilton's Method

| State | Population | $h=120$ | Quota |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | 236841 |  | 7.860 |
| DE | 55540 |  | 1.843 |
| GA | 70835 |  | 2.351 |
| KY | 68705 |  | 2.280 |
| MD | 278514 |  | 9.243 |
| MA | 475327 |  | 15.774 |
| NH | 141822 |  | 4.707 |
| NJ | 179570 |  | 5.959 |
| NY | 331589 |  | 11.004 |
| NC | 353523 |  | 11.732 |
| PA | 432879 |  | 14.366 |
| RI | 68446 |  | 2.271 |
| SC | 206236 |  | 6.844 |
| VT | 85533 |  | 2.839 |
| VA | 630560 |  | 20.926 |
| US | 3615920 | 120.5307 | 120 |
| $d=30000$ |  |  |  |

## Hamilton's Method

| State | Population | $h=120$ | Quota | Lower Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | 236841 |  | 7.860 | 7 |
| DE | 55540 |  | 1.843 | 1 |
| GA | 70835 |  | 2.351 | 2 |
| KY | 68705 |  | 2.280 | 2 |
| MD | 278514 |  | 9.243 | 9 |
| MA | 475327 |  | 15.774 | 15 |
| NH | 141822 |  | 4.707 | 4 |
| NJ | 179570 |  | 5.959 | 5 |
| NY | 331589 |  | 11.004 | 11 |
| NC | 353523 |  | 11.732 | 11 |
| PA | 432879 |  | 14.366 | 14 |
| RI | 68446 |  | 2.271 | 2 |
| SC | 206236 |  | 6.844 | 6 |
| VT | 85533 |  | 2.839 | 2 |
| VA | 630560 |  | 20.926 | 20 |
| US | 3615920 | 120.5307 | 120 | 111 |
|  |  | $=30000$ |  |  |

## Hamilton's Method

| State | Population | $h=120$ | Quota | Lower Q | Appt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | 236841 |  | 7.860 | 7 | 8 |
| DE | 55540 |  | 1.843 | 1 | 2 |
| GA | 70835 |  | 2.351 | 2 | 2 |
| KY | 68705 |  | 2.280 | 2 | 2 |
| MD | 278514 |  | 9.243 | 9 | 9 |
| MA | 475327 |  | 15.774 | 15 | 16 |
| NH | 141822 |  | 4.707 | 4 | 5 |
| NJ | 179570 |  | 5.959 | 5 | 6 |
| NY | 331589 |  | 11.004 | 11 | 11 |
| NC | 353523 |  | 11.732 | 11 | 12 |
| PA | 432879 |  | 14.366 | 14 | 14 |
| RI | 68446 |  | 2.271 | 2 | 2 |
| SC | 206236 |  | 6.844 | 6 | 7 |
| VT | 85533 |  | 2.839 | 2 | 3 |
| VA | 630560 |  | 20.926 | 20 | 21 |
| US | 3615920 | 120.5307 | 120 | 111 | 120 |

## Hamilton's Method

| State | Population | $h=120$ | Quota | Lower Q | Appt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | 236841 |  | 7.860 | 7 | 8 |
| DE | 55540 |  | 1.843 | 1 | 2 |
| GA | 70835 |  | 2.351 | 2 | 2 |
| KY | 68705 |  | 2.280 | 2 | 2 |
| MD | 278514 |  | 9.243 | 9 | 9 |
| MA | 475327 |  | 15.774 | 15 | 16 |
| NH | 141822 |  | 4.707 | 4 | 5 |
| NJ | 179570 |  | 5.959 | 5 | 6 |
| NY | 331589 |  | 11.004 | 11 | 11 |
| NC | 353523 |  | 11.732 | 11 | 12 |
| PA | 432879 |  | 14.366 | 14 | 14 |
| RI | 68446 |  | 2.271 | 2 | 2 |
| SC | 206236 |  | 6.844 | 6 | 7 |
| VT | 85533 |  | 2.839 | 2 | 3 |
| VA | 630560 |  | 20.926 | 20 | 21 |
| US | 3615920 | 120.5307 | 120 | 111 | 120 |
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| PA | 432879 |
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| SC | 206236 |
| VT | 85533 |
| VA | 630560 |
| US | 3615920 |


| $\boldsymbol{h}=120$ | Quota | Lower Q | Appt |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | 7.860 | 7 | 8 |
|  | 1.843 | 1 | 2 |
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| 120.5307 | 120 | 111 | 120 |
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| State | Population |
| :---: | :---: |
| CT | 236841 |
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| GA | 70835 |
| KY | 68705 |
| MD | 278514 |
| MA | 475327 |
| NH | 141822 |
| NJ | 179570 |
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| PA | 432879 |
| RI | 68446 |
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| VA | 630560 |
| US | 3615920 |


| $\boldsymbol{h}=120$ | Quota | Lower Q | Appt |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | 7.860 | 7 | 8 |
|  | 1.843 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 2.351 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 2.280 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 9.243 | 9 | 9 |
|  | 15.774 | 15 | 16 |
|  | 4.707 | 4 | 5 |
|  | 5.959 | 5 | 6 |
|  | 11.004 | 11 | 11 |
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This became the first apportionment bill passed by Congress.

26 March 1792: bill is sent to President Washington for his approval.

5 April 1792: Washington vetoes the bill.

## Hamilton's Method

| State | Population | $h=120$ | Quota | Lower Q | Appt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | 236841 |  | 7.860 | 7 | 8 |
| DE | 55540 |  | 1.843 | 1 | 2 |
| GA | 70835 |  | 2.351 | 2 | 2 |
| KY | 68705 |  | 2.280 | 2 | 2 |
| MD | 278514 |  | 9.243 | 9 | 9 |
| MA | 475327 |  | 15.774 | 15 | 16 |
| NH | 141822 |  | 4.707 | 4 | 5 |
| NJ | 179570 |  | 5.959 | 5 | 6 |
| NY | 331589 |  | 11.004 | 11 | 11 |
| NC | 353523 |  | 11.732 | 11 | 12 |
| PA | 432879 |  | 14.366 | 14 | 14 |
| RI | 68446 |  | 2.271 | 2 | 2 |
| SC | 206236 |  | 6.844 | 6 | 7 |
| VT | 85533 |  | 2.839 | 2 | 3 |
| VA | 630560 |  | 20.926 | 20 | 21 |
| US | 3615920 | 120.5307 | 120 | 111 | 120 |
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## Hamilton's Method

| State | Population | $h=120$ | Quota Lower Q | Appt |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | 236841 |  | 7.8607 | 8 | Connecticut: |
| DE | 55540 |  | 1.843 1 | 2 | 236841/8 = 29605.13 |
| GA | 70835 |  | $2.351 \quad 2$ | 2 |  |
| KY | 68705 |  | 2.280 | 2 |  |
| MD | 278514 |  | 9.243 9 | 9 | Delaware: |
| MA | 475327 |  | 15.77415 | 16 | 55540/2 = 27770 |
| NH | 141822 |  | 4.7074 | 5 |  |
| NJ | 179570 |  | 5.959 | 6 |  |
| NY | 331589 |  | 11.00411 | 11 |  |
| NC | 353523 |  | 11.73211 | 12 |  |
| PA | 432879 |  | 14.36614 | 14 |  |
| RI | 68446 |  | 2.271 | 2 |  |
| SC | 206236 |  | $6.844 \quad 6$ | 7 |  |
| VT | 85533 |  | 2.8392 | 3 |  |
| VA | 630560 |  | $20.926 \quad 20$ | 21 | U.S.: |
| US | 3615920 | 120.5307 | $120 \quad 111$ | 120 | $3615920 / 120=30,132.66 \ldots$ |

## Basic Jefferson Method

After Washington's veto letter of 5 April 1792,
Congress quickly passes the original Senate bill. Washington signed the bill on 14 April 1792.
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## Two Methodologies

- Divisor Methods
- Basic: $h$ is the result
- Modified: $h$ is the goal
- Quota Methods
$h$ is the resource
Divisor methods create seats.
Quota methods distribute seats.


## Quota Method

Example: California, 2010 Census $Q_{\text {CA }}=h\left(p_{\text {CA }} / p_{\text {US }}\right)=435\left(\frac{37,341,989}{309,183,463}\right)=52.5376 \ldots$
http://www.census.gov/prod/cen2010/briefs/c2010br-08.pdf
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1. Decide on a divisor $d$ (constituency).

## Basic Jefferson Method

1. Decide on a divisor $d$ (constituency).
2. Calculate each state's quotient:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { quotient } & =\text { population/divisor } \\
q & =p / d
\end{aligned}
$$

## Basic Jefferson Method

1. Decide on a divisor $d$ (constituency).
2. Calculate each state's quotient:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { quotient } & =\text { population/divisor } \\
q & =p / d
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Basic Jefferson Method

1. Decide on a divisor $d$ (constituency).
2. Calculate each state's quotient:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { quotient } & =\text { population/divisor } \\
q & =p / d
\end{aligned}
$$

3. The state's apportionment is the integer part of $q$ : $a=\operatorname{int}(q)$.

The resulting house size is the sum of each state's apportionment.

## First 60 years

> A Basic Divisor Method would be used as the House apportionment method until 1850.
\& 1790: $s=15 ; d=33000 \Rightarrow h=105$
$\&$ 1800: $s=16 ; d=33000 \Rightarrow h=141$
$\&$ 1810: $s=17 ; d=35000 \Rightarrow h=181$
$\&$ 1820: $s=24 ; d=40000 \Rightarrow h=213$
$\&$ 1830: $s=24 ; d=47700 \Rightarrow h=240$
$\& ~ 1840: s=26 ; d=70680 \Rightarrow h=223$

## Basic Jefferson Method

Problems are discovered as the method is used; however, defects of the method were evident from the beginning.

## Basic Jefferson Method

Problems are discovered as the method is used; however, defects of the method were evident from the beginning.

Jefferson's method systematically favors larger states; further, it can violate the Quota Rule.

## 1830 Census

Three new methods are proposed to deal with the decimal part of a state's quotient.
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## 1830 Census

Three new methods are proposed to deal with the decimal part of a state's quotient.

Jefferson: round down (drop the decimal).
Adams: round up.
Dean: round down or up according to which option gives a state's constituency closest to the divisor.

Webster: round normally.

## James Dean

In 1830 the US population was $11,931,578$. Consider: constituency = 50,000 people.
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## James Dean

In 1830 the US population was 11,931,578. Consider: constituency $=50,000$ people.

Vermont's population: 280,657.
Vermont's quotient: 280,657/50,000 $=5.613$.

At this point, Jefferson apportions 5 seats to Vermont; Adams, 6 seats.

With 5 seats the constituency is $280,657 / 5=56,131$.
With 6 seats the constituency is $280,657 / 6=46,776$.

A constituency of 46,776 is closer to the target constituency of 50,000; hence, Dean awards Vermont 6 seats.

## James Dean

Step 1: Select the constituency, $d$.
Step 2: Calculate $q=p / d$ and $n=\operatorname{int}(q)$.
Step 3: Let the apportionment be either $n$ or $n+1$, with $n+1$ iff $p /(n+1)$ is closer to $d$ than $p / n$.
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Step 1: Select the constituency, $d$.
Step 2: Calculate $q=p / d$ and $n=\operatorname{int}(q)$.
Step 3: Let the apportionment be either $n$ or $n+1$, with $n+1$ iff $p /(n+1)$ is closer to $d$ than $p / n$.


This is mathematically equivalent to: let the apportionment be $n+1$ iff $q \geq \operatorname{HM}(n, n+1)$.

## Daniel Webster
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Step 2: Calculate $q=p / d$ and $n=\operatorname{int}(q)$.
Step 3: Let the apportionment be either $n$ or $n+1$, with $n+1$ iff $q \geq n+1 / 2$
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## Daniel Webster

Step 1: Select the constituency, $d$.
Step 2: Calculate $q=p / d$ and $n=\operatorname{int}(q)$.
Step 3: Let the apportionment be either $n$ or $n+1$, with $n+1$ iff $q \geq n+1 / 2=\mathrm{AM}(n, n+1)$.

Dean:


Webster:


## Dean and Webster

Step 1: Select the constituency, $d$.
Step 2: Calculate $q=p / d$ and $n=\operatorname{int}(q)$.
Step 3: Let the apportionment be either $n$ or $n+1$, with $n+1$ if and only if

Dean:


$$
\text { Dean: } a=n+1 \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{HM}(n, n+1) \leq q \text {. }
$$

Webster:


Webster: $a=n+1 \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{AM}(n, n+1) \leq q$.

## 1830 Census

In 1831 there were four different proposed apportionment methods based on a given divisor. The difference was in how the method chose to round a state's quotient (state's population divided by the chosen divisor).

## 1830 Census

In 1831 there were four different proposed apportionment methods based on a given divisor. The difference was in how the method chose to round a state's quotient (state's population divided by the chosen divisor).

Jefferson: round down (min).
Adams: round up (max).
Dean: round by closest constituency (HM). Webster: round normally (AM).

## In a Round About Way

| Census 1810 |  | $d=35000$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Population | Quotient | min | AM | HM | max |
| CT | 261818 | 7.4805 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 |
| DE | 71004 | 2.0287 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| GA | 210346 | 6.0099 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| KY | 374287 | 10.6939 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| MD | 335946 | 9.5985 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| MA | 700745 | 20.0213 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 21 |
| NH | 214460 | 6.1274 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| NJ | 241222 | 6.8921 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| NY | 953043 | 27.2298 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 28 |
| NC | 487971 | 13.9420 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| OH | 230760 | 6.5931 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| PA | 809773 | 23.1364 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 24 |
| RI | 76931 | 2.1980 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| SC | 336569 | 9.6163 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| TN | 243913 | 6.9689 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| VT | 217895 | 6.2256 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| VA | 817594 | 23.3598 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 24 |
| US | 6575234 | 188.1222 | 181 | 188 | 189 | 198 |
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## In a Round About Way

| Census 1810 |  | $d=35000$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Population | Quotient | min | AM | HM | max |
| CT | 261818 | 7.4805 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 |
| DE | 71004 | 2.0287 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| GA | 210346 | 6.0099 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
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| NJ | 241222 | 6.8921 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| NY | 953043 | 27.2298 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 28 |
| NC | 487971 | 13.9420 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| OH | 230760 | 6.5931 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| PA | 809773 | 23.1364 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 24 |
| RI | 76931 | 2.1980 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| SC | 336569 | 9.6163 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| TN | 243913 | 6.9689 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| VT | 217895 | 6.2256 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| VA | 817594 | 23.3598 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 24 |
| US | 6575234 | 188.1222 | 181 | 188 | 189 | 198 |
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## In a Round About Way

| Census 1810 |  | $d=35000$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Population | Quotient | min | AM | HM | max |
| CT | 261818 | 7.4805 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 |
| DE | 71004 | 2.0287 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| GA | 210346 | 6.0099 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| KY | 374287 | 10.6939 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| MD | 335946 | 9.5985 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| MA | 700745 | 20.0213 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 21 |
| NH | 214460 | 6.1274 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| NJ | 241222 | 6.8921 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| NY | 953043 | 27.2298 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 28 |
| NC | 487971 | 13.9420 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| OH | 230760 | 6.5931 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
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## In a Round About Way

| Census 1810 |  | $d=35000$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Population | Quotient | Jefferson | AM | HM | max |
| CT | 261818 | 7.4805 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 |
| DE | 71004 | 2.0287 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| GA | 210346 | 6.0099 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| KY | 374287 | 10.6939 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| MD | 335946 | 9.5985 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| MA | 700745 | 20.0213 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 21 |
| NH | 214460 | 6.1274 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| NJ | 241222 | 6.8921 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| NY | 953043 | 27.2298 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 28 |
| NC | 487971 | 13.9420 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| OH | 230760 | 6.5931 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| PA | 809773 | 23.1364 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 24 |
| RI | 76931 | 2.1980 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| SC | 336569 | 9.6163 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| TN | 243913 | 6.9689 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| VT | 217895 | 6.2256 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| VA | 817594 | 23.3598 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 24 |
| US | 6575234 | 188.1222 | 181 | 188 | 189 | 198 |
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| Census 1810 |  | $d=35000$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Population | Quotient | Jefferson | AM | HM | Adams |
| CT | 261818 | 7.4805 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 |
| DE | 71004 | 2.0287 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| GA | 210346 | 6.0099 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| KY | 374287 | 10.6939 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| MD | 335946 | 9.5985 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| MA | 700745 | 20.0213 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 21 |
| NH | 214460 | 6.1274 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| NJ | 241222 | 6.8921 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| NY | 953043 | 27.2298 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 28 |
| NC | 487971 | 13.9420 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| OH | 230760 | 6.5931 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| PA | 809773 | 23.1364 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 24 |
| RI | 76931 | 2.1980 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| SC | 336569 | 9.6163 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
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| VT | 217895 | 6.2256 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| VA | 817594 | 23.3598 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 24 |
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## In a Round About Way

| Census 1810 |  | $d=35000$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Population | Quotient | Jefferson | Webster | HM | Adams |
| CT | 261818 | 7.4805 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 |
| DE | 71004 | 2.0287 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| GA | 210346 | 6.0099 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| KY | 374287 | 10.6939 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| MD | 335946 | 9.5985 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| MA | 700745 | 20.0213 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 21 |
| NH | 214460 | 6.1274 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| NJ | 241222 | 6.8921 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| NY | 953043 | 27.2298 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 28 |
| NC | 487971 | 13.9420 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| OH | 230760 | 6.5931 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| PA | 809773 | 23.1364 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 24 |
| RI | 76931 | 2.1980 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| SC | 336569 | 9.6163 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| TN | 243913 | 6.9689 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| VT | 217895 | 6.2256 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| VA | 817594 | 23.3598 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 24 |
| US | 6575234 | 188.1222 | 181 | 188 | 189 | 198 |

## In a Round About Way

| Census 1810 |  | $d=35000$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Population | Quotient | Jefferson | Webster | Dean | Adams |
| CT | 261818 | 7.4805 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 |
| DE | 71004 | 2.0287 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| GA | 210346 | 6.0099 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| KY | 374287 | 10.6939 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| MD | 335946 | 9.5985 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| MA | 700745 | 20.0213 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 21 |
| NH | 214460 | 6.1274 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| NJ | 241222 | 6.8921 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| NY | 953043 | 27.2298 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 28 |
| NC | 487971 | 13.9420 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| OH | 230760 | 6.5931 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| PA | 809773 | 23.1364 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 24 |
| RI | 76931 | 2.1980 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| SC | 336569 | 9.6163 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
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| VT | 217895 | 6.2256 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| VA | 817594 | 23.3598 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 24 |
| US | 6575234 | 188.1222 | 181 | 188 | 189 | 198 |

## In a Round About Way

| Census 1810 |  | $d=35000$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{HM}(7,8)= \\ & 7.4666 \cdots \end{aligned}$ | Census 1810 |  | $d=35000$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | State | Population | Quotient | Jefferson | Webster | Dean | Adams |
|  | CT | 261818 | 7.4805 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 |
|  | DE | 71004 | 2.0287 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
|  | GA | 210346 | 6.0099 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
|  | KY | 374287 | 10.6939 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
|  | MD | 335946 | 9.5985 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | MA | 700745 | 20.0213 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 21 |
|  | NH | 214460 | 6.1274 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
|  | NJ | 241222 | 6.8921 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
|  | NY | 953043 | 27.2298 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 28 |
|  | NC | 487971 | 13.9420 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
|  | OH | 230760 | 6.5931 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
|  | PA | 809773 | 23.1364 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 24 |
|  | RI | 76931 | 2.1980 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
|  | SC | 336569 | 9.6163 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | TN | 243913 | 6.9689 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
|  | VT | 217895 | 6.2256 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
|  | VA | 817594 | 23.3598 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 24 |
|  | US | 6575234 | 188.1222 | 181 | 188 | 189 | 198 |

## In a Round About Way

| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{HM}(7,8)= \\ & 7.4666 \cdots \end{aligned}$ | Census 1810 |  | $d=35000$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | State | Population | Quotient | Jefferson | Webster | Dean | Adams |
|  | CT | 261818 | 7.4805 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 |
|  | DE | 71004 | 2.0287 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
|  | GA | 210346 | 6.0099 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| 261818/7 = | KY | 374287 | 10.6939 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| 37403; over by | MD | 335946 | 9.5985 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 2403. | MA | 700745 | 20.0213 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 21 |
|  | NH | 214460 | 6.1274 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| 261818/8 = | NJ | 241222 | 6.8921 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 32727, under by | NY | 953043 | 27.2298 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 28 |
| 2273. | NC | 487971 | 13.9420 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
|  | OH | 230760 | 6.5931 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
|  | PA | 809773 | 23.1364 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 24 |
|  | RI | 76931 | 2.1980 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
|  | SC | 336569 | 9.6163 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | TN | 243913 | 6.9689 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
|  | VT | 217895 | 6.2256 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
|  | VA | 817594 | 23.3598 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 24 |
|  | US | 6575234 | 188.1222 | 181 | 188 | 189 | 198 |

## 1830 Census

In the 1831 apportionment bill, politics played the key role. In the House, a divisor of 48,000 was originally considered to be applied to the US population of 11,931,000.

What came out of the House apportionment committee was a bill using a divisor of 47,700.

## 1830 Census

In the 1831 apportionment bill, politics played the key role. In the House, a divisor of 48,000 was originally considered to be applied to the US population of 11,931,000.

What came out of the House apportionment committee was a bill using a divisor of 47,700.

The change of divisor of 48,000 to 47,700 significantly changed the quotient of three states:

Georgia: 8.954 to 9.011
Kentucky: 12.955 to 13.036
New York: 39.970 to 40.222

## Politics

How did this 1830 census based political event occur?
President: Andrew Jackson
Vice-President: John C. Calhoun

## Politics

How did this 1830 census based political event occur?

President: Andrew Jackson
Vice-President: John C. Calhoun

Chairman of the House Apportionment Committee:
James Knox Polk


## 1840 Census

In 1842 the apportionment debate began with the political game: divisor! On one day in the 242 member House, 59 motions were made to establish a divisor. The values ranged from 30000 to 141000 with the majority from 50159 to 62172.

## 1840 Census

In 1842 the apportionment debate began with the political game: divisor! On one day in the 242 member House, 59 motions were made to establish a divisor. The values ranged from 30000 to 141000 with the majority from 50159 to 62172.

The Apportionment Act of 1842 used a basic divisor method with $d=70680$ and Webster's method of rounding. This yielded $h=223$, the only time in U.S. history that $h$ decreased as a result of a census-based re-apportionment.

## The Vinton Act

The Vinton Act of 1850 (Representative Samuel Vinton, Whig-Ohio) was passed to head off politicizing the census figures. The idea was to adopt a permanent appropriation act.


## The Vinton Act

The Vinton Act specified a House with 233 seats to be apportioned by Hamilton's method.

## The Vinton Act

The Vinton Act specified a House with 233 seats to be apportioned by Hamilton's method.

But experience exposed problems with the Vinton Act.

## Lessons from History

The quota method is subject to three counter-intuitive paradoxes
> The Alabama Paradox
$>$ The Population Growth Paradox
$>$ The New States Paradox

## Alabama Paradox

This Paradox may occur when applying the Hamilton method: when the number of House seats is increased, a given state's apportion may decrease.

## Alabama Paradox

The results for the 1900 census doomed Hamilton's method. In particular, the apportion for Maine oscillated as follows:

3 members for House size 350-382, 386, 389-390

4 members for House size 383-385, 387-388, 391-400

## 1910

Apportionment based on the 1910 census came from another mutation in apportionment methodology.

Congress abandoned the Quota Method and used a modified divisor method.

## Modified Divisor Methods

Step 1. Select the House size $h$.
Step 2. Select a constituency, $d$.
Step 3. Calculate $q=p / d$ and $n=\operatorname{int}(q)$.
Step 4. Let $a=\max (1, \operatorname{rnd}(q)) \in\{n, n+1\}$
Step 5. If apportionments add to $h$, then DONE; else, modify $d$ and GO TO Step 3.

## Modified Divisor Methods

Step 1. Select the House size $h$.
Step 2. Select a constituency, $d$.
Step 3. Calculate $q=p / d$ and $n=\operatorname{int}(q)$.
Step 4. Let $a=\max (1, \operatorname{rnd}(q)) \in\{n, n+1\}$
Step 5. If apportionments add to $h$, then DONE; else, modify d and GO TO Step 3.

## Modified Divisor Methods

Step 1. Select the House size $h$.
Step 2. Select a constituency, d.
Step 3. Calculate $q=p / d$ and $n=\operatorname{int}(q)$.
Step 4. Let $a=\max (1, \operatorname{rnd}(q)) \in\{n, n+1\}$
Step 5. If apportionments add to $h$, then DONE; else, modify $d$ and GO TO Step 3.

1830: Jefferson: $a=n$
Adams: $\quad a=n+1$
Dean: $\quad a=n+1$ iff $q \geq \operatorname{HM}(n, n+1)$
Webster: $a=n+1$ iff $q \geq \mathrm{AM}(n, n+1)$

## Modified Divisor Methods

Step 1. Select the House size $h$.
Step 2. Select a constituency, $d$.
Step 3. Calculate $q=p / d$ and $n=\operatorname{int}(q)$.
Step 4. Let $a=\max (1, \operatorname{rnd}(q)) \in\{n, n+1\}$
Step 5. If apportionments add to $h$, then DONE; else, modify $d$ and GO TO Step 3.

1910: $h=433$ and Webster's method of rounding.

## 1920 Census

In the 1920 decade there was so much confusion and politics that for the only time in U. S. History no census-based re-apportionment act was passed.

Congress could not agree on either the size of the House or on the method of apportionment. Further, the politics of prohibition played a significant role: the dries would not consider any allocation giving the wets more power.

## Today

The current method, described in Title 2 of the U.S. Code, consists of the Apportionment Act of 1929 (which froze $h=435$ ) along with its 1940 and 1941 amendments. The 1941 amendment was signed by President Franklin Roosevelt and specifies the apportionment method of

## Today

The current method, described in Title 2 of the U.S. Code, consists of the Apportionment Act of 1929 (which froze $h=435$ ) along with its 1940 and 1941 amendments. The 1941 amendment was signed by President Franklin Roosevelt and specifies the apportionment method of Huntington and Hill.
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Then $a=n+1$ iff $q \geq$
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Let $q=p / d$ and $n=\operatorname{int}(q)$.
Then $a=n+1$ iff $q \geq \mathrm{GM}(n, n+1)$.
Dean:


H-H:


Criterion: $a=n+1$ iff $\frac{d}{\left(\frac{p}{n+1}\right)} \leq \frac{\left(\frac{p}{n}\right)}{d}$

## Huntington-Hill

Let $q=p / d$ and $n=\operatorname{int}(q)$.
Then $a=n+1$ iff $q \geq \operatorname{GM}(n, n+1)$.
Dean:

$\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}:$


Criterion: $a=n+1$ iff $\frac{d}{\left(\frac{p}{n+1}\right)} \leq \frac{\left(\frac{p}{n}\right)}{d}$
iff $q \geq \mathrm{GM}(n, n+1)$.

## The Aftermath

Michel Balinski, Professor of Mathematics at SUNY Stony Brook and H. Peyton Young, Professor of Mathematics at Johns Hopkins proved the following theorem in 1982:

## The Aftermath

Michel Balinski, Professor of Mathematics at SUNY Stony Brook and H. Peyton Young, Professor of Mathematics at Johns Hopkins proved the following theorem in 1982:

There are no perfect apportionment methods.

Any method that satisfies the quota rule produces paradoxes; any method that is free of the Alabama paradox may violate the quota rule.

## They Mean Well

A modified divisor method first fixes the House size, then seeks a divisor that when the state's quotients are rounded appropriately and summed, the house size is achieved.

## They Mean Well

A modified divisor method first fixes the House size, then seeks a divisor that when the state's quotients are rounded appropriately and summed, the house size is achieved.


## Montana

In the 1990 apportionment, Montana lost one of its two seats it held for 80 years. In 1991 MT filed suit in federal district court (MT vs. US Dept Commerce).

MT argued the H-H method is unconstitutional and that either Dean's or Adam's method should be used. The federal judges voted 2-1 in favor of MT.


## Montana

In the 1990 apportionment, Montana lost one of its two seats it held for 80 years. In 1991 MT filed suit in federal district court (MT vs. US Dept Commerce).

MT argued the H-H method is unconstitutional and that either Dean's or Adam's method should be used. The federal judges voted 2-1 in favor of MT.


## Apportionment Problems

On appeal, the U.S. Supreme Court ruled unanimously that the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$ method was constitutional. The district court's decision was overturned.
http://www.law.cornell.edu/supct/html/91-860.ZS.html
http://caselaw.lp.findlaw.com/scripts/getcase.pl?court=US\&vol=503\&invol=442

## Thank You

It is time that I took my seat in this House!
http://www.nia977.wix.com/drbcap

## Bonus Resources

## Washington's Veto

United States [Philadelphia] April 51792.

## Gentlemen of the House of Representatives

I have maturely considered the Act passed by the two Houses, intitled, "An Act for an apportionment of Representatives among the several States according to the first enumeration," and I return it to your House, wherein it originated, with the following objections.

First-The Constitution has prescribed that representatives shall be apportioned among the several States according to their respective numbers: and there is no one proportion or divisor which, applied to the respective numbers of the States will yield the number and allotment of representatives proposed by the Bill.

Second-The Constitution has also provided that the number of Representatives shall not exceed one for every thirty thousand; which restriction is, by the context, and by fair and obvious construction, to be applied to the seperate and respective numbers of the States: and the bill has allotted to eight of the States, more than one for thirty thousand.

George Washington.

## First Apportionment

## Act

> Chap. XXIII.-An Jet for apportioning Representatives among the several States, according to the first enumeration.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That from and after the third day of March one thousand seven hundred and ninety-three, the House of Representatives shall be composed of members elected agreeably to a ratio of one member for every thirty-three thousand persons in each state, computed according to the rule prescribed by the constitution; that is to say: Within the state of New Hampshire, four; within the state of Massachussetts, fourteen; within the state of Vermont, two; within the state of Rhode Island, two; within the state of Connecticut, seven; within the state of New York, ten; within the state of New Jersey, five; within the state of Pennsylvania, thirteen; within the state of Delaware, one; within the state of Maryland, eight; within the state of Virginia, nineteen; within the state of Kentucky, two; within the state of North Carolina, ten; within the state of South Carolina, six; and within the state of Georgia, two members.

Approved, April 14, 1792.

## 1790: Why 33000?

| State | Population | 30000 | 31000 | 32000 | 33000 | 34000 | 35000 | 36000 | 37000 | 38000 | 39000 | 40000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CN | 236841 | 0.8947 | 0.6400 | 0.4013 | 0.1770 | 0.9659 | 0.7669 | 0.5789 | 0.4011 | 0.2327 | 0.0728 | 0.9210 |
| DE | 55540 | 0.8513 | 0.7916 | 0.7356 | 0.6830 | 0.6335 | 0.5869 | 0.5428 | 0.5011 | 0.4616 | 0.4241 | 0.3885 |
| GA | 70835 | 0.3612 | 0.2850 | 0.2136 | 0.1465 | 0.0834 | 0.0239 | 0.9676 | 0.9145 | 0.8641 | 0.8163 | 0.7709 |
| KY | 68705 | 0.2902 | 0.2163 | 0.1470 | 0.0820 | 0.0207 | 0.9630 | 0.9085 | 0.8569 | 0.8080 | 0.7617 | 0.7176 |
| MD | 278514 | 0.2838 | 0.9843 | 0.7036 | 0.4398 | 0.1916 | 0.9575 | 0.7365 | 0.5274 | 0.3293 | 0.1414 | 0.9629 |
| MA | 475327 | 0.8442 | 0.3331 | 0.8540 | 0.4038 | 0.9802 | 0.5808 | 0.2035 | 0.8467 | 0.5086 | 0.1879 | 0.8832 |
| NH | 141822 | 0.7274 | 0.5749 | 0.4319 | 0.2976 | 0.1712 | 0.0521 | 0.9395 | 0.8330 | 0.7322 | 0.6365 | 0.5456 |
| NJ | 179570 | 0.9857 | 0.7926 | 0.6116 | 0.4415 | 0.2815 | 0.1306 | 0.9881 | 0.8532 | 0.7255 | 0.6044 | 0.4893 |
| NY | 331589 | 0.0530 | 0.6964 | 0.3622 | 0.0482 | 0.7526 | 0.4740 | 0.2108 | 0.9619 | 0.7260 | 0.5023 | 0.2897 |
| NC | 353523 | 0.7841 | 0.4040 | 0.0476 | 0.7128 | 0.3977 | 0.1007 | 0.8201 | 0.5547 | 0.3032 | 0.0647 | 0.8381 |
| PA | 432879 | 0.4293 | 0.9638 | 0.5275 | 0.1175 | 0.7317 | 0.3680 | 0.0244 | 0.6994 | 0.3916 | 0.0995 | 0.8220 |
| RI | 68446 | 0.2815 | 0.2079 | 0.1389 | 0.0741 | 0.0131 | 0.9556 | 0.9013 | 0.8499 | 0.8012 | 0.7550 | 0.7112 |
| SC | 206236 | 0.8745 | 0.6528 | 0.4449 | 0.2496 | 0.0658 | 0.8925 | 0.7288 | 0.5739 | 0.4273 | 0.2881 | 0.1559 |
| VT | 85533 | 0.8511 | 0.7591 | 0.6729 | 0.5919 | 0.5157 | 0.4438 | 0.3759 | 0.3117 | 0.2509 | 0.1932 | 0.1383 |
| VA | 630560 | 0.0187 | 0.3406 | 0.7050 | 0.1079 | 0.5459 | 0.0160 | 0.5156 | 0.0422 | 0.5937 | 0.1682 | 0.7640 |
| US | 3615920 | 8.5307 | 8.6426 | 6.9975 | 4.5733 | 6.3506 | 7.3120 | 9.4422 | 9.7276 | 8.1558 | 5.7159 | 9.3980 |
|  | Unrepresen | 255920 | 267920 | 223920 | 150920 | 215920 | 255920 | 339920 | 359920 | 309920 | 222920 | 375920 |

## Alabama Paradox

How is this possible?

| State | House $\mathbf{2 9 9}$ | House $\mathbf{3 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| AL | 7.646 | 7.671 |
| TX | 9.640 | 9.672 |
| IL | 18.640 | 18.702 |

With the House size at 299, Alabama was the last state to be allotted an extra representative to make the House size because of it's decimal. When the House size was increased to 300, all states' quotas were increased by $0.33 \%$. And there were two states that got the extra representatives; and, this time, Texas and Illinois beat out Alabama.

## US Census Bureau

The U.S. Census Bureau is housed within the Department of Commerce.

Check out the U.S. Census Bureau for what it says about apportionment.
http://www.census.gov/

Summary 7-page brochure:
http://www.census.gov/prod/cen2010/briefs/c2010br-08.pdf

History of Legislation:
http://www.census.gov/history/www/reference/apportionment/apportion ment legislation 1790 - 1830.html

## More!

For playing around, learning or teaching:
http://www.cut-the-knot.org/ctk/Democracy.shtml

## Key Decades

The key decades in the history of the Congressional apportionment problem are 1790, 1840 and 1850, and 1920. Here are some excellent resources for each of these periods.
$>$ Edmund J. James, The First Apportionment of Federal Representatives in the United States, Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 9 (January 1897): 1-41.
> Johanna Nicol Shields, Whigs Reform the "Bear Garden":
Representation and the Apportionment Act of 1842, Journal of the Early Republic, 5 (Fall 1983): 356-82.
> Charles W. Eagles, Democracy Delayed: Congressional Reapportionment and Urban-Rural Conflict in the 1920s, University of Georgia Press, 1990.

## US History

For any serious research of U.S. history, one must know about the Journals of Congress which includes the House Journal and the Senate Journal:
http://memory.loc.gov/ammem/amlaw/lwhj.html


[^0]:    U.S.:

    3615920/120 = 30,132.66...

